

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,463	09/15/2000	Charles Petruccelli	9547-3	3649
20322 7	590 12/04/2006		EXAM	INER
SNELL & WILMER 400 EAST VAN BUREN ONE ARIZONA CENTER PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- V	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/662,463	PETRUCCELLI ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE T			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication periods:	on the same day as filing a No llowing replies: (1) an amendm Notice of Appeal (with appeal ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The r	otice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of nent, affidavit, or other evidence, which fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of th		set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expi Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a)	ire later than SIX MONTHS from th or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) Wh	ne mailing date of the final rejection.	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	P 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office I may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL.	f extension and the corresponding he shortened statutory period for re ater than three months after the m 4(b).	amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee eply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any end a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.3)	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require further They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be considered) They are not deemed to place the application in 	consideration and/or search (spelow);	see NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling	a a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a		iany rejected diamer	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR	1.121. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s): The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	 a) will not be entered, or b provided below or appended.) will be entered and an explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,7-15,17-22,24-26,28,30 and 3</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>'2</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	, but before or on the date of fil and sufficient reasons why the	ling a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered e affidavit or other evidence is necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fil entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces	to overcome <u>all</u> rejections undessary and was not earlier prese	er appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a nted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the reconsideration of	ation of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.	I but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition allowance because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	OUELAINEN 3600	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: ____.

Part of Paper No. 20061130

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant has made the argument that claim 6 was never cancelled in any reply to the previous office actions.

However, the Applicant stated in the Remarks section of the Response/Amendment received 6/30/2006 (pg.9, 1st paragraph, 3rd sentence), "Applicants cancel claim 6 without prejudice to filing one or more claims having similar subject matter."

Furthermore, the Applicant has made the argument that the sited prior art fails to expressly teach or disclose compiling offer data regarding the most frequently requested destination based on information stored in the answer database, wherein the offer data is used to select an offer relevant to the offer data.

However, the sited referenced in combination disclose providing target advertisements to users based on user psychographic profile information (internet viewing habits) (Gerace: Abstract, Claims 1-5), which is the equivalent to the technology/system requirements as claimed. The Claimed subject matter does not require the saved information (answer database) to be unrelated (lack of relationship) to system users.